

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BRADLEY SANDEFUR,

Petitioner,

v.

FERNANDEIS FRAZIER, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:21-cv-00125-ART-CSD

ORDER

In this habeas corpus action, after a 90-day initial period (*see* ECF No. 35), and two 60-day extensions of time (ECF Nos. 37, 39), Petitioner Bradley Sandefur, represented by appointed counsel, was due to file an amended habeas petition by February 14, 2023. On February 13, 2023, Sandefur filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 40), requesting a further 62-day extension of time, to April 17, 2023. Sandefur's counsel explains that the extension of time is necessary because of the time it is taking to investigate this case, because of his obligations in other cases, and because of his administrative duties. Sandefur's counsel represents that Respondents do not oppose the extension of time.

The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the requested extension of time.

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF  
2 No. 40) is granted. Petitioner will have until and including April 17, 2023, to file  
3 his amended habeas petition. In all other respects, the schedule for further  
4 proceedings set forth in the order entered July 18, 2022 (ECF No. 35) will remain  
5 in effect.

6 DATED THIS 15<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2023.

7   
8

9 ANNE R. TRAUM  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28